

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,749	01/17/2002	Gang Huang	HUANG 13-12-6 2534	
75	90 09/14/2006	EXAMINER		
MANELLI DI	ENISON & SELTER	NGO, NGUY	NGO, NGUYEN HOANG	
7th Floor 2000 M Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20036-3307			2616	

DATE MAILED: 09/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/046,749	HUANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Nguyen Ngo	2616	

Advisory Action	10/046,749 HUANG ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Nguyen Ngo	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 28 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		•			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	'06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exampler 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ctension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of		
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since		
AMENDMENTS					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause		
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). They are not deemed to place the application in be		ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi ovided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but the control of the control	ut before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Anneal will no	nt he entered		
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
13. Other:	. —	Phicks	1		
	SUPER	ÁICKY Q. NGC RVISORY PATENT I) EXAMINER		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1. Applicant's arguments filed 8/28/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- 2. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a single receiver to service a plurality of station on a packet-by-packet basis, the plurality of station having different transmission characteristics that are determined through use of a table look-up in a station pre-training table) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). It should be noted that nowhere in the claims those it state a plurality of transmitters, nor a single receiver to service a plurality of station IDs.
- 3. Applicant further submits the combination of Hartsen, Okamoto, and Nee fail to disclose the limitation of providing auxiliary coding comprising a station ID parameter of a transmitting home network device to said receiver. It should be noted that Hartsen discloses transmitting a signal over multiple paths (page 3 [0033] and figure 1). It is thus well known in the art of incorporating a source address in a header/auxiliary header to ensure proper transmission from transmitter to receiver, as stated in the claim. Thus the Examiner relies on Okamoto to teach the well-known concept of incorporating such a source address to identify the transmitting home network device and to ensure proper transmission. The Examiner does not rely on Okamoto to disclose a station ID parameter, which is used to determine which address formats to convert between from a first and second network, as mentioned by Applicant. The Examiner simply uses Okamoto to teach the well-known concept of transmitting a source address identifying the transmitted source. It would have thus been obvious to incorporate a source address along with the auxiliary information (flag used to determine training value) in order to ensure proper transmission.
- 4. Applicant further submits that the combination of Hartsen, Okamoto, and Nee fail to disclose using the auxiliary coding to perform a table look-up in a station pre-training table to determine one or more training value. As stated in the discussion of claim 1, Haartsen discloses that the reference training sequence is retrieved from memory in the receiver (page 4 [0044]). The Examiner thus correlates memory to correlate to a pre-training table to determine training values, and further relies on Nee to clarify that training tables are stored in memory. The Examiner does not rely on Nee to show that pre-calculated and stored training codes are stored in a transmitter, but to show that pre-calculated and stored training codes are stored in memory, which Hartsen discloses to be in a receiver (page 4 [0044]).